

Consumer Protection, Divestment, and Food Security: A Pampas Parable

Tomás Domínguez-Iino

University of Chicago

September 7, 2026

(Latest version [here](#))

Abstract

Government interventions intended to protect consumers can trigger supply-side divestment, leaving markets undercapitalized and exposed to future shocks. This paper exploits the unique features of a particular industry – cattle ranching – to illustrate this intertemporal trade-off. Distinctive dynamics arise because of cattle’s dual role as a consumption and capital good: increasing current beef consumption requires liquidating cattle stocks, thereby constraining breeding capacity and future consumption. I combine a dynamic model of cattle ranching with variation in export restrictions from the Argentine beef market to show how consumer protection compromises food security through a divestment mechanism. While restrictions on food exports are often associated with a government’s home bias, divestment reveals present bias: consumption is not only redistributed from foreign to domestic consumers but also from future to current domestic constituents. By discouraging investment, consumer protection raises the industry’s exposure to climate risks and strengthens subsequent political incentives for intervention, generating a dynamic feedback loop between food policy and climate resilience.

This paper extends a case study on the dynamic impacts of export restrictions in the Argentine beef market, originally published as part of my doctoral dissertation ([Domínguez-Iino 2021](#)). I thank Guillermo Berra, Cristian Feldkamp, Chris Flinn, Alessandro Lizzeri, Martin Rotemberg, and Paul Scott for helpful discussions during the early stages of this project.